

# Evidence that the Televised *Creation v. Evolution* Debate in 2014 was Nothing but a Sham

by Jeremy James



Regular readers will know that much of what we are shown on television is designed to shape the way we think. The worldwide media machine is continually pumping out news and opinions that conflict with a Biblical worldview. We can readily understand why the ruling Elite would want to do this. In fact, the Biblical account of how the world really works – the world over which the prince of darkness rules – warns countless times that this is what we must expect. As it states in one place, "**...the whole world lieth in wickedness.**" (1 John 5:19)

There are certain mind traps which the Elite seem to believe are indispensable to their suppression of Christianity. They are so important that images that reinforce them are flashed with great frequency on our television screens, often several times a day. These include the globe earth, the depths of space, the Washington Monument, dinosaurs, spaceships, aliens, comets, and objects that morph into other objects.

## **An Indispensable Lie**

Evolution is one of these indispensable lies. It presents mankind with an alternative account of creation, an alternative 'creator', an alternative account of our past, and a fantastic future grounded in scientific progress and human imagination. It also holds open the possibility that man himself will learn the secrets of 'evolution' and the steps he needs to take to become a god.

The false doctrine of evolution is the gift that keeps on giving. Once the public became accustomed to the idea that a simple thing could randomly 'evolve' into a more complex thing, it was not too difficult to trick them into believing that nothing could suddenly 'evolve' into something. The so-called 'Big Bang' theory, which purports to explain the origin of the universe, is a monstrous hoax based on this principle.



Evolution is a highly prized lie. Given its role in the great End Time deception, and its success to date in defaming the Bible and blaspheming our Creator, there is not the slightest possibility that the Elite would permit a genuine debate of its merits and defects to be televised. Nevertheless we are asked to believe that the debate between Ken Ham of *Answers in Genesis* and Bill Nye, 'the Science Guy', which took place on February 4, 2014 – and which was later shown on C-Span – was an objective appraisal of Evolution as a legitimate scientific theory. From our analysis of the transcript we are able to show that it was nothing of the kind. In fact, the case made by Ken Ham was so weak that we are greatly puzzled that no-one seems to have asked whether or not the entire debate was a sham.

You will be able to judge for yourself from the evidence given below.

### **Debate format**

The debate took the form of a 5-minute opening statement by each speaker, followed by a 30-minute presentation by each. They then had two 5-minute rebuttals each, in alternating order. The latter part of the debate comprised a 45-minute segment where speakers responded to questions put by the audience and selected by the moderator from CNN. The questions, about a dozen in all, were addressed to one of the two speakers, who had 2 minutes to reply. The other speaker was then given a minute to respond.

In all, each speaker had about 50 minutes speaking time. This was more than enough to show that the theory of evolution was nothing but a ridiculous, pseudo-scientific myth concocted by those who hate the Bible and who intend to bring about a New World Order.

Alas, that was not how Mr Ham used his valuable time. [For readers to wish to judge the debate for themselves, it can be watched in full on YouTube. A professional transcript is also available on the Internet.]

### **Mr Ham's main points**

The main points made by him during his total speaking time could be summarized as follows:

**1.** There are many highly respected scientists who believe in Creationism. Among the examples cited by Mr Ham were Professor Stuart Burgess, Dr Raymond Damadian, Dr Danny Faulkner, and Dr. Andrew Fabich.

**2.** The term science has been hijacked by secularists to teach Evolution and treat Creationism as a purely religious belief.

**3.** Observational science is different from historical science. Ideas or opinions about the past should not be treated as facts. "...again, I emphasize there's a big difference between historical science, talking about the past, and observational science, talking about the present."

**4.** Belief in what he calls "molecules-to-man evolution" has nothing to do with science as a discipline to develop technology. The real debate is about origins, the origin of mankind and the world we live in. "Here's a point we need to understand. You can have all the energy that you want, but energy or matter will never produce life. God imposed information, a language system, and that's how we have life. Matter by itself could never produce life no matter what energy you have."

**5.** Secular scientists take for granted the consistency and uniformity of natural laws, as well as the laws of logic, the existence of which cannot be explained by science. These laws were created by God:

"Matter can never produce a language system. Languages only come from an intelligence; information only comes from information. The Bible tells us that the things we see...are made from things that are unseen. An infinite creator God who created the universe, created matter, the energy, space-mass-time universe, and created the information for life. It's the only thing that makes logical sense."

**6.** Creationists and Evolutionists all have the same evidence. It is just that they interpret it differently. The real conflict is between worldviews. For Creationists, God is the real starting point and the ultimate authority:

"I say God is necessary because you have to assume the laws of logic, you have to assume the laws of nature, you have to assume the uniformity of nature. And there's a question I had for you. Where does that come from if the universe is here by natural processes?"


7. Darwin's finches do not prove Evolution. The adaptations that scientists observe, and which prompt them to categorize each variety as a separate species, all originate from the same genetic source, which the Bible calls "their kind". Mr Ham said, "...there's an incredible amount of variability in the genes of any creature...In other words, you have a common dog ancestor that gives rise to the different species of dogs, and that's exactly what we're saying here." *[Note: Mr Ham mistakenly used the term "species of dogs" six times. He should have referred instead to "breeds of dog." The term he used actually supports evolution.]*

8. The word "evolution" has been hijacked using a bait-and-switch to indoctrinate students to accept evolutionary belief as observational science. Mr Ham contends that students are being told that science is true [the bait], and since Evolution is science, it too must be true [the switch].

9. The earth is not ancient but about 6,000 years old – "...you can't prove scientifically the age of the earth or the universe". Regarding radioactive dating techniques, Mr Ham said, "...all these dating methods actually give all sorts of different dates. In fact, different dating methods on the same rock, we can show, give all sorts of different dates...You know what, there are hundreds of dating methods out there, hundreds of them. Actually 90% of them contradict billions of years. And the point is, all such dating methods are fallible."

10. *[Dr Nye made a number of dismissive comments about the Noah's Ark, stating, for example, that it could not have held a large enough variety of animals to account for the thousands of species we see today.]* Mr Ham said he was confusing the word 'species' with the Biblical term, 'kind': "In fact [staff at his institute] have been doing that research [and] they have predicted probably less than actually a thousand kinds were on Noah's Ark, which means just over 2,000 animals."

To the untrained eye, his argument carries a certain force. There were no obvious howlers and he appeared to make a case that was consistent both with Scripture and with the scientific data. However, to anyone who has bothered to look more deeply into this subject, his case is very weak. He is like a man who fights with a toothpick in one hand and a large wooden club in the other, but only ever uses the toothpick.




## **The waffle-laden case made by Dr Nye**

Before we proceed to show just how many times Mr Ham could have struck his opponent's eggshell defenses with a baseball bat, we would like to comment on the case made by Dr Nye during his 50 minutes. Naturally, we would have expected a man of science to make extensive use of well-established, peer-reviewed, incontrovertible proofs to show why Evolution was true. After all, he claims to have billions of examples throughout the entire animal kingdom to support his case. But he never attempted to do this. Why? Because evolution is completely phony and its proponents have nothing but wishful thinking and pseudo-scientific assumptions to back up their arguments.

The laughable absence of decent examples compels its defenders – when speaking at a public forum – to resort again and again to well-known tricks and debating devices in order to disguise the childish vacuity of their 'science'. Dr Nye was no different, referring in the course of his rambling presentation to everything and anything but sound scientific evidence. He spent most of his time mocking Noah's Ark and the worldwide flood, deriding the Bible and its supposedly antiquated views, extolling the work of Big Bang theorists, crowing over modern technology or man's discoveries in 'outer space', and reciting reams of material from the annals of science and ancient history whose relevance derived mainly from the fact that Dr Nye saw fit to mention it.

**"Every prudent man dealeth with knowledge: but a fool layeth open his folly."** (Proverbs 13:16). If you want to see a fool laying open his folly, take a look at Dr Nye's performance on YouTube.



**Dinosaur factory in Zigong City, Sichuan, China**

## **The devastating case that Mr Ham failed to make**

Mr Ham's repudiation of evolution, such as it was, had two important arguments:

- (1) the laws of the natural world and the laws of logic, whose uniformity, consistency and universality are central to science, cannot be explained by evolution; and
- (2) the tools that science uses to measure the ages of rocks and fossils are bogus; they give ridiculously inconsistent results and are reliant on assumptions that are deliberately framed to give the evolutionists the results they want.



But why make only two good points when it was possible to make a great many more?

In the following pages we give just a broad sample of the kind of arguments that Mr Ham could have made but which, inexplicably, he failed to mention [They are drawn in the main from paper #25 (*The Shameless Fraud Known as Darwinian Evolution*) and paper #110 (*The Dark Art of Deception: Why Dinosaurs Are a Hoax*). By the way, we will not comment on the many opportunities that Mr Ham had to refute specific assertions made by Dr Nye in the course of their 'debate'.]

### **1. The Huge Logical Hole in Evolution**

If millions of chance mutations are needed before one truly beneficial mutation can emerge, then what becomes of those mutations that are not immediately fatal to the organism and are passed on to the next generation? Such deleterious mutations would, from a statistical standpoint, far exceed the number of supposedly beneficial ones. Thus their inevitable entry into the gene pool would result in the progressive *deterioration* of a species and its eventual demise.

The dark dungeon of evolution crumbles beneath this simple fact. So why didn't Mr Ham refer to it?



**Evolutionist at work.**

### **2. The complete absence of intermediary forms in the fossil record**

For a new species to evolve gradually over a long period of time, it would have to pass through a number of intermediary stages before a fully functioning, survival-enhancing attribute could be added to its genetic code. Dozens of transitional body forms would conceivably be required before this new state was attained. These in turn should appear with great frequency in the fossil record. However, all species – whether they are fish, crustaceans, reptiles, mammals, marsupials, insects, or birds – appear suddenly in the fossil record, fully formed, without any intermediary stages whatever.

In a proper scientific discipline a gaping hole of this magnitude would quickly consign a theory to the trash heap, but in the strange world of evolution – where logic, common sense, and cause-and-effect are routinely ignored – the great deception is allowed to continue.

But did Mr Ham refer to any of this? No, he did not.

### **3. Fossilisation**

The natural world has an abundance of species which live off carrion, which scavenge for dead and dying animals, and which leave no respectable remnant to be fossilised. Even those few fragments that escape the scavengers are shortly thereafter consumed by insects, bacteria and a multiplicity of micro-organisms. According to the evolutionary theorists we are expected to believe, not just that intact carcasses can remain untouched for many weeks or months, but that they can retain their integrity for such a long period of time that soil and other debris can accumulate and entomb them. Even scientists who explore the ocean floor in regions rich in marine life are unable to find even a vestige of the material that would be needed to form a fossilised carcass or skeleton. [The few genuine fossils that are found today were entombed suddenly in catastrophic events, such as the Flood, or trapped in tar pits and mud holes.]

In short, organic matter cannot survive long enough, either in the soil or in the sea, to be amenable to the ultra-slow process of fossilisation – yet another glaring flaw in the theory of evolution.

But did Mr Ham refer to any of this? No, he did not.



**One of thousands of open mines around the world.  
Dinosaur bones are never found in any of them.**

### **4. Fossil abundance**

If the earth is as old as the evolutionists maintain, and fossilisation proceeds in accordance with the uniformitarian model, then one ought to find an abundance of fossils in all sedimentary rocks – without exception. A hundred million years is a truly enormous period of time, during which virtually every square mile of the earth's surface should have accumulated hundreds, if not thousands of tons of fossilised material. But fossil troves are extremely rare and the widespread distribution that one would expect is nowhere to be found.

Did Mr Ham refer to any of this? No, he did not.

## 5. Human population

According to the evolutionists, mankind evolved in the Great Rift Valley in north-east Africa about 200,000 years ago. Now let's consider for a moment the severe practical implications of this. If we assume that the rate of population increase among early man was broadly similar to the rate obtaining today – a little over 1 percent – and if we assume that the total human population of the earth 200,000 years ago was just a hundred individuals, then it would have grown to several trillion (not billion) in less than *ten* thousand years!

Perhaps a global annual rate of increase of 1 percent is too high. However, even if we use a lesser rate, we still get an astronomical expansion in the population in just a few thousand years. For example, some historians reckon that the population of the earth at the time of Christ was around 100 million. Based on a current world population of 7 billion or so, this represents a long-run annual increase of slightly over one fifth of one percent (0.212%). If we apply the same annual rate of increase to our Rift Valley population, it would have grown from 100 individuals to 157 billion in just 10,000 years. After a few more millennia, there would have been no space on earth for even one more person!

As you can see, the Great Rift Valley theory, like evolution itself, is sheer nonsense. But did Mr Ham refer to any of this? No, he did not.



## 6. Simultaneous evolution of sexual opposites

Evolutionists regularly rhapsodize over the ingenious way 'nature' has achieved optimum genetic diversity through cross-pollination and sexual reproduction. But they give little attention to the serious problem that this poses for evolutionary theory. In a great many of species the actual transmission of genetic material from the male to the female is a remarkably complex process, both chemically and behaviourally.



For example, in many species the male must emit certain chemical triggers to which the female must respond in a very specific way. Unless his signal and her response are exactly right, they will not mate. But, according to the theory of evolution, the reproductive mechanisms in both the male and the female must have evolved independently. Therefore evolutionists actually believe that the genes which enable these two highly complex, complementary processes to operate just happened to evolve in exactly the right way at exactly the same time in exactly the same location. Of course, this is utterly impossible – a complete fantasy. But it is fairly typical of the evolutionary mindset, where an astronomical series of improbably accidents is deemed to occur, not once but several times, and finish by producing two perfectly adapted, sexually compatible organisms of dazzling complexity.

This is sheer nonsense, but why didn't Mr Ham refer to it?


### **7. Mutation of Fruit-Flies**

Evolutionists insist that a series of random mutations must eventually give rise to one which somehow confers an actual or potential advantage on a species, however small the change may be. In their determination to provide experimental evidence of this, they have bred countless generations of fruit-flies (*drosophila melanogaster*) under controlled laboratory conditions and induced random mutations in their genetic code through irradiation and other methods.

Since the fruit fly can produce a new generation every two weeks or so, scientists have been able to observe the outcome across hundreds of generations. So how many new species have been produced by this process? How many new proteins? How many new enzymes?

The answer: Not one.

Did Mr Ham refer to any of this? No, he did not.



**Fruit flies bred in a laboratory.**

## **8. Conflict with other branches of science**

All branches of science – with one exception – recognise and accept the Second Law of Thermodynamics. This law states that all orderly processes in the universe are continually moving into a less ordered state. In short they are decaying. The most obvious example is progressive heat loss. Every object, large or small, is losing heat through radiation. This can never be recaptured in its totality, which means that every ordered system will eventually lose heat and die unless more is added from another source.

This law commands respect in every branch of science except evolutionary biology. Why? Because it refutes a fundamental tenet of evolution, namely, that an ordered system can advance, purely by chance, into a more ordered state. In short, the second law of thermodynamics, sometimes known as the law of entropy, guarantees that nothing can ever evolve. Therefore, unless several other branches of science are seriously defective, the so-called science of evolution is completely bogus.

Incredibly one of the questions put to the two speakers during the Q&A segment of the Debate pertained to the Second Law of Thermodynamics. In his reply Dr Nye wittered on like a bird in a cage, pretending not understand what the question implied. Both the question and Dr Nye's cynical reply gave Mr Ham a golden opportunity to explain to the audience that this respected law tore asunder the flimsy foundations of evolution, not by reference to Creationism, but solely by reference to established scientific principles.

Did he take this golden opportunity? No, he did not.

His failure in this regard was so serious that we must conclude either that Mr Ham simply lacked the basic scientific knowledge needed to handle this topic (which we doubt) or that both he and Dr Nye were following another agenda.



As a footnote to this deplorable episode, we would point out that the Word of God actually makes an explicit reference to the second law of thermodynamics. When David's general, Joab, hired the woman of Tekoah to plead for Absalom, she made a telling observation in the course of her plea:

**"For we must needs die, and are as water spilt on the ground, which cannot be gathered up again; neither doth God respect any person: yet doth he devise means, that his banished be not expelled from him."**

**– 2 Samuel 14:14**

As the woman rightly noted, water spilt on the ground cannot be gathered up again. Even if a full glass is overturned on a stone floor, some portion, however small, will always be lost. Try as we might, we will never be able to collect every last drop. That is the Second Law of Thermodynamics.

### **9. Salt-polluted oceans**

The evolutionary process needs millions of years to operate successfully. However, even if the earth was just 10 million years old – a small fraction of the time required for ‘evolution’ to take effect – the oceans of the world would be so heavily laden with salt and other soluble mineral deposits that they could not possibly support life.

Did Mr Ham refer to any of this? No, he did not.



### **10. Erosion**

By the same token, at existing rates of weathering and erosion, the great mountain ranges of the world would have completely worn away and the earth's surface would now be a soil-depleted wasteland. Furthermore, the accumulation of alluvium from the world's rivers over such a long period would have covered the ocean floors with a uniform carpet of silt several miles deep. Since obviously neither is the case, we know that the earth must be thousands, not millions, of years old.

Did Mr Ham refer to any of this? No, he did not.

### **11. Evolution is a Religion**

Evolution is a religion founded by atheists. Professor Michael Ruse, who describes himself as "an ardent evolutionist," made a very frank admission in this regard when he stated:

"Evolution is promoted by its practitioners as more than mere science. Evolution is promulgated as an ideology, a secular religion – a full-fledged alternative to Christianity, with meaning and morality. I am an ardent evolutionist and an ex-Christian, but I must admit that in this one complaint...the literalists are absolutely right. Evolution is a religion. This was true of evolution in the beginning, and it is true of evolution still today."

– *How Evolution Became a Religion*, National Post, May 13, 2000.

Did Mr Ham refer to any of this? No, he did not.


## **12. Hoaxes, Deceptions and Lies**

The bogus science of evolution has been responsible for number of serious hoaxes and deceptions. These include the hoax known as Java Man, the hoax known as Piltdown Man, the hoax known as Nebraska Man, and the fake embryological drawings of made by the German evolutionist, Ernest Haeckel, which are still being used in colleges and universities over a hundred years after they were shown to be counterfeit.

Did Mr Ham refer to this? No, he did not.

## **13. *Archaeoraptor***

If fossilization is a naturally occurring, universal process – without which the fossil record could not have accumulated – then one would have expected a far greater variety of animals to feature in the record. The early fossil fabricators did not pay enough attention to this question and allowed a fossil archive to become established which, inexplicably, excluded birds. The only way around this problem, once the anomaly was recognized, was to insist that birds 'evolved' after the dinosaurs had disappeared. But *what* did they evolve from? The fabricators were now in a tight spot and felt obliged to suggest that birds evolved from the last of the dinosaurs – just before the alleged worldwide extinction event 65 million years ago. This explanation was an embarrassment for all concerned, so efforts were made to discover a transitional fossil, one which exhibited features common to both dinosaurs and birds.





Eventually a fossil, the so-called *Archaeoraptor*, was discovered in north-eastern China that expressed perfectly the cross-over characteristics they were looking for – having the bone structure of a primitive bird but the teeth and tail of a small terrestrial dinosaur. *National Geographic* announced their discovery with great satisfaction in November 1999, but hard questions were asked in this instance and, within months, the hoax was uncovered. Analysis of X-rays of the specimen revealed that it was made up of three layers pasted together to produce a work of great commercial value. *National Geographic* was forced to apologize.


Did Mr Ham refer to this shameless episode? No, he did not.

#### 14. Other perpetuated deceptions

Why do evolutionists continue to maintain that four-winged fruit flies, which were developed through artificial genetic mutation in a laboratory, are not a new species at all but a genetically damaged version of the original?

Why do evolutionists continue to promote the peppered moth as proof of evolution when it has long been known that the same genome is capable of producing all of the observed variation?

Why do evolutionists continue to proclaim the so-called ‘Horse Chart’ as evidence of evolution when many of their own associates reject it as a just-so story, where even the hyrax (a rabbit-like animal still found in Africa today) is portrayed as an extinct species of horse?



Lies, lies, lies.

Why do evolutionists still refer to the existence of so-called vestigial organs as evidence of evolution when so many of their prime examples – the thymus, pineal and pituitary glands, and so-called 'junk' DNA – are long known to be scientific flimflam of the worst kind?

Why do evolutionists still try to contend that bacteria develop a resistance to antibiotics through a process of evolution when it is well known that this resistance is *already* present in a minute percentage of the host population and that the mass death of their colleagues simply allows this miniscule remnant to flourish?

There are many more examples like these, the existence of which ought to be highlighted in any debate regarding the legitimacy of evolution. But, true to form, Mr Ham didn't make meaningful use of this important resource.

### **The Real Agenda behind the teaching of Evolution**

For reasons that are far from clear, Mr Ham also failed to highlight the well-known fact that the champions of Evolution hate the Bible and are using the fake science of Evolution to attack it. The world around us gives a startling demonstration of the power and mercy of our Creator. The main purpose of Evolution is to contradict and discredit the book of Genesis. The ultra-rich families who control this world are prepared to spend large sums of money convincing the public that Evolution is true. No lie is too absurd, no claim too ridiculous. All that matters is that the vast majority of the population are exposed to a never-ending ream of reports about ancient fossils and other exotic discoveries which prove that the earth is millions of years old, that dinosaurs lived on earth long before man, that all life evolved and continues to evolve, and that the Creation account in Genesis is just a myth for simple minds.




**A Masonic medallion claiming the American space program as its own. The image on the left boasts, "Our Flags on the Moon," while the 'square' held by the Masonic astronaut reads: "Supreme Council 33, Southern Jurisdiction USA". The image on the right reads: "The Supreme Council 33 Mother Jurisdiction of the World of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry."**

It is notable that Mr Ham made reference in his presentation to many aspects of modern science which we now know to be bogus. He spoke of the globe earth – a poisonous deception that has run with great success for five hundred years. Previous generations lacked the technology to test the claims made by the so-called scientific authorities, but today we can fly from east to west and back again with a commercial airline and see for ourselves that both journeys take much the same length of time. The earth could not be moving. We have telescopic cameras and digital enlargement software which allow us to photograph identifiable features at ground level 80 miles away – something that would be impossible if the earth was a globe.

The Bible tells us that the earth is flat and stationary – and the Bible is correct.

Mr Ham also referred to NASA, space travel and photographs of the 'globe' allegedly taken from outer space, but this too is complete nonsense. The concept of 'outer space' was sown in the minds of the public by science fiction writers of the 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> centuries, and later reinforced by television, Hollywood, fake moon landings, and satellite launches sponsored by NASA. The concept of 'outer space' was deliberately concocted by the Masonic schemers and Illuminati deceivers who are trying to bring about a New World Order. It gives mankind a false understanding of reality, undermines the cosmology of the Bible, and offers a future for mankind that differs radically from the one given in God's Word.

All of this originates with Satan, the master of lies.

	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Science Fiction</b></p> <p><b>A 'giant asteroid' strikes the earth – another fictitious detail in the great Masonic hoax.</b></p> <p><b>Outer space is a myth, giant asteroids do not exist, and dinosaurs were not 'wiped out' 65 million years ago.</b></p>
---	---

On top of all this, *Answers in Genesis*, the Biblical institute headed by Mr Ham, teaches that dinosaurs once roamed the earth. It even includes models of dinosaurs in its museum in Kentucky, similar to the models on display in secular museums across the world. But dinosaurs never existed! In our paper #110, *The Dark Art of Deception: Why Dinosaurs Are a Hoax*, we show how this hoax was concocted and designed to serve the anti-Genesis agenda.



A *Diplodocus* at the Carnegie Museum of Natural History, Pittsburg, 'discovered' in 1899 and on display since 1907. The skeleton was 60 percent intact when it was 'found'. This exotic creature was invented at a time when such an incredibly long neck was thought to be possible, both in terms of its physiology and in terms of its implications for the animal's balance and movement. For these reasons alone we know that *Diplodocus* never existed. It was fabricated by Carnegie's agents and buried in an obscure location where it just happened to be found.



Henry Fairfield Osborn, the man who invented both the *Tyrannosaurus Rex* and the *Velociraptor*





## CONCLUSION

Christians need to wake up and realize that Satan is real and that he has a large army of obedient servants here on earth – men and women just like you and me – who hate Christianity and are determined to destroy it. They need to realize that Satan will tell any lie, no matter how preposterous, to lure men away from the Word of God. They need to realize that he is able to make these outrageous lies seem plausible by dressing them up in pseudo-scientific language and weaving them into enticing philosophies and beguiling futuristic promises. They need to realize that he is utterly ruthless and driven with a hatred we cannot even imagine. They need to realize that he runs this world – until Christ returns – and exercises a deadly stranglehold over the minds and hearts of 99 percent or more of mankind. The only ones who don't serve him are Spirit-filled, born-again Christians. All the rest are putty in his hands, to be manipulated and deceived by his faithful disciples, the Children of Wickedness.

Unless you can see this you will have a hard time understanding why the debate on February 4, 2014, was a complete sham.

---

**Jeremy James**  
**Ireland**  
**July 19, 2018**

### **- SPECIAL REQUEST -**

Regular readers are encouraged to download the papers on this website for safekeeping and future reference. They may not always be available. We are rapidly moving into an era where material of this kind may be obtained only via email. Readers who wish to be included on a future mailing list are welcome to contact me at **jeremypauljames@gmail.com**. A name is not required, just an email address.

**For further information visit [www.zephaniah.eu](http://www.zephaniah.eu)**

**Copyright Jeremy James 2018**